Gen Esq Law PLLC	
Janelle J. Romero, Esq. (5750195)	
515 Madison Avenue #8140	
New York, NY 10022	
Telephone: (201) 503-7754	
UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT	
SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK	
X	
GABRIELA LOPES CHAVEZ and LUZ MARIA HUARCA SEDANO, individually and on behalf of all others similarly	
situated,	COLLECTIVE ACTION
Plaintiffs,	COMPLAINT
-against-	JURY TRIAL
	DEMANDED
BESOS RESTAURANT BAR & LOUNGE CORP and YISSELA GUARDIA SPILCUETA, as an individual,	
Defendants.	

1. Plaintiffs, GABRIELA LOPES CHAVEZ and LUZ MARIA HUARCA SEDANO, individually and on behalf of all others similarly situated, (hereinafter referred to as "Plaintiffs"), by their attorneys at Gen Esq Law PLLC, alleges, upon personal knowledge as to themselves and upon information and belief as to other matters, as follows:

# PRELIMINARY STATEMENT

2. Plaintiffs, Plaintiffs, GABRIELA LOPES CHAVEZ and LUZ MARIA HUARCA SEDANO, individually and on behalf of all others similarly situated, through undersigned counsel, bring this action against BESOS RESTAURANT BAR & LOUNGE CORP and YISSELA GUARDIA SPILCUETA, as an individual (hereinafter referred to as "Defendants"), to recover damages for egregious violations of state and federal wage and hour laws arising out of Plaintiffs' employment at BESOS RESTAURANT BAR & LOUNGE CORP located at 31 Corson Avenue Staten Island, NY 10301.

3. As a result of the violations of Federal and New York State labor laws delineated below, Plaintiffs seek compensatory damages and liquidated damages in an amount exceeding \$100,000.00. Plaintiffs also seek interest, attorneys' fees, costs, and all other legal and equitable remedies this Court deems appropriate.

# **JURISDICTION AND VENUE**

- 4. This Court has subject matter jurisdiction over Plaintiffs' federal claims pursuant to the FLSA, 29 U.S.C. §216 and 28 U.S.C. §1331.
- 5. This Court has supplemental jurisdiction over Plaintiffs' state law claims pursuant to 28 U.S.C. §1367.
- 6. Venue is proper in the SOUTHERN District of New York pursuant to 28 U.S.C. §1391(b) because a substantial part of the events or omissions giving rise to the claims occurred in this district.
- 7. This Court is empowered to issue a declaratory judgment pursuant to 28 U.S.C. §§2201 & 2202.

### THE PARTIES

- 8. Plaintiff GABRIELA LOPES CHAVEZ residing at 129 John Street State Island, NY 10302, was employed from in or around February 1, 2023 until in or around July 23, 2023 by Defendants at BESOS RESTAURANT BAR & LOUNGE CORP located at 31 Corson Avenue Staten Island, NY 10301.
- 9. Plaintiff LUZ MARIA HUARCA SEDANO residing at 69 Starbuck Street Staten Island, NY 10304, was employed from in or around May 19, 2023 until in or around July 23, 2023 by Defendants at BESOS RESTAURANT BAR & LOUNGE CORP located at 31 Corson Avenue Staten Island, NY 10301.
- 10. Defendant, BESOS RESTAURANT BAR & LOUNGE CORP is a corporation organized under the laws of New York.
- 11. Defendant, BESOS RESTAURANT BAR & LOUNGE CORP is a corporation authorized to do business under the laws of New York.

- 12. Upon information and belief, Defendant, BESOS RESTAURANT BAR & LOUNGE CORP is a corporation organized under the laws of New York with a principal executive office at 1663 Jerome Avenue, Bronx, New York 10453.
- 13. Upon information and belief, Defendant YISSELA GUARDIA SPILCUETA owns and operates BESOS RESTAURANT BAR & LOUNGE CORP,
- 14. Upon information and belief, Defendant YISSELA GUARDIA SPILCUETA is an agent of BESOS RESTAURANT BAR & LOUNGE CORP,
- 15. Upon information and belief, Defendant YISSELA GUARDIA SPILCUETA has power over personnel decisions at BESOS RESTAURANT BAR & LOUNGE CORP,
- 16. Upon information and belief, Defendant YISSELA GUARDIA SPILCUETA has power over payroll decisions at BESOS RESTAURANT BAR & LOUNGE CORP,
- 17. Defendant YISSELA GUARDIA SPILCUETA has the power to hire and fire employees at BESOS RESTAURANT BAR & LOUNGE CORP, establish and pay their wages, set their work schedule, and maintains their employment records.
- 18. During all relevant times herein, Defendant YISSELA GUARDIA SPILCUETA was Plaintiff's employer within the meaning of the FLSA and NYLL.
- 19. On information and belief, BESOS RESTAURANT BAR & LOUNGE CORP is, at present and has been at all times relevant to the allegation in the complaint, an enterprise engaged in interstate commerce within the meaning of the FLSA in that the entity (i) has had employees engaged in commerce or in the production of goods for commerce, and handle, sell or otherwise work on goods or material that have been moved in or produced for commerce by any person: and (ii) has had an annual gross volume of sales of not less than \$500,000.00.
- 20. At all times relevant to this action, Defendants were and are enterprises as defined in Sec. 3(r) of the FLSA, 29 U.S.C. § 203(r).
- 21. At all times relevant to this action, Defendants were Plaintiffs' employers as defined by 29 U.S.C. § 203(d) and NYLL §§ 2(6), 190(3) and 651(6), and Defendants employed and/or jointly employed Plaintiffs.

### **FACTUAL ALLEGATIONS**

- 22. Plaintiff GABRIELA LOPES CHAVEZ was employed from in or around February 1, 2023 until in or around July 23, 2023 by Defendants at BESOS RESTAURANT BAR & LOUNGE CORP.
- 23. During Plaintiff GABRIELA LOPES CHAVEZ's employment by Defendants, Plaintiff's primary duties were restocking and preparing food and cleaning the restaurant, while performing other miscellaneous duties from in or around February 1, 2023 until in or around July 23, 2023.
- 24. Plaintiff GABRIELA LOPES CHAVEZ was paid by Defendants approximately \$17.00 per hour throughout her employment from in or around February 1, 2023 until in or around July 23, 2023.
- 25. Plaintiff GABRIELA LOPES CHAVEZ worked approximately one hundred and five (105) hours or more per week during her employment by Defendants from in or around February 1, 2023 until in or around July 23, 2023.
- 26. Although Plaintiff GABRIELA LOPES CHAVEZ worked approximately one hundred and five (105) hours or more per week during her employment by Defendants from in or around February 1, 2023 until in or around July 23, 2023, Defendants did not pay Plaintiff time and a half (1.5) for hours worked over forty (40), a blatant violation of the overtime provisions contained in the FLSA and NYLL.
- 27. Furthermore, GABRIELA LOPES CHAVEZ worked approximately fifteen (15) or more hours per day, seven (7) days a week from in or around February 1, 2023 until in or around July 23, 2023, Defendants did not pay Plaintiff an extra hour at the legally prescribed minimum wage for each day worked over ten (10) hours, a blatant violation of the spread of hours provisions contained in the NYLL.
- 28. Plaintiff LUZ MARIA HUARCA SEDANO was employed from in or around May 19, 2023 until in or around July 23, 2023 by Defendants at BESOS RESTAURANT BAR & LOUNGE CORP.
- 29. During Plaintiff LUZ MARIA HUARCA SEDANO's employment by Defendants, Plaintiff's primary duties were restocking food and cleaning the restaurant while performing other miscellaneous duties from in or around May 19, 2023 until in or around July 23, 2023.

- 30. Plaintiff LUZ MARIA HUARCA SEDANO was paid by Defendants approximately \$10.00 per hour throughout her employment from in or around May 19, 2023 until in or around July 23, 2023.
- 31. Plaintiff LUZ MARIA HUARCA SEDANO worked approximately sixty (60) hours or more per week during her employment by Defendants from in or around May 19, 2023 until in or around July 23, 2023.
- 32. Defendants failed to pay Plaintiff LUZ MARIA HUARCA SEDANO the legally prescribed minimum wage for her hours worked from in or around May 19, 2023 until in or around July 23, 2023, a blatant violation of the minimum wage provisions contained in the FLSA and NYLL.
- 33. Although Plaintiff LUZ MARIA HUARCA SEDANO worked approximately sixty (60) hours or more per week during her employment by Defendants from in or around May 19, 2023 until in or around July 23, 2023, Defendants did not pay Plaintiff time and a half (1.5) for hours worked over forty (40), a blatant violation of the overtime provisions contained in the FLSA and NYLL.
- 34. Furthermore, LUZ MARIA HUARCA SEDANO worked approximately fifteen (15) or more hours per day, four (4) days a week from in or around May 19, 2023 until in or around July 23, 2023, Defendants did not pay Plaintiff an extra hour at the legally prescribed minimum wage for each day worked over ten (10) hours, a blatant violation of the spread of hours provisions contained in the NYLL.
- 35. Upon information and belief, Defendants willfully failed to post notices of the minimum wage and overtime wage requirements in a conspicuous place at the location of their employment as required by both the NYLL and the FLSA.
- 36. Upon information and belief, Defendants willfully failed to keep payroll records as required by both NYLL and the FLSA.
- 37. As a result of these violations of Federal and New York State labor laws, Plaintiffs seek compensatory damages and liquidated damages in an amount exceeding \$100,000.00. Plaintiff also seeks interest, attorneys' fees, costs, and all other legal and equitable remedies this Court deems appropriate.

5

#### **COLLECTIVE ACTION ALLEGATIONS**

- 38. Plaintiffs bring this action on behalf of themselves and other employees similarly situated as authorized under the FLSA, 29 U.S.C. § 216(b). The employees similarly situated are the collective class.
- 39. Collective Class: All persons who are or have been employed by the Defendants as employees who were responsible for restocking and preparing food and cleaning the restaurant or other similarly titled personnel with substantially similar job requirements and pay provisions, who were performing the same sort of functions for Defendants, other than the executive and management positions, who have been subject to Defendants' common practices, policies, programs, procedures, protocols and plans including willfully failing and refusing to pay required overtime wage compensation and proper minimum wage compensation.
- 40. Upon information and belief, Defendants employed between 20 and 35 employees within the past three years subjected to similar payment structures.
- 41. Upon information and belief, Defendants suffered and permitted Plaintiff and the Collective Class to work more than forty hours per week without appropriate overtime compensation or proper minimum wage compensation.
- 42. Defendants' unlawful conduct has been widespread, repeated, and consistent.
- 43. Upon information and belief, Defendant had knowledge that Plaintiffs and the Collective Class performed work requiring overtime pay and proper minimum wage compensation.
- 44. Defendants' conduct as set forth in this Complaint, was willful and in bad faith, and has caused significant damages to Plaintiff and the Collective Class.
- 45. Defendants are liable under the FLSA for failing to properly compensate Plaintiff and the Collective Class, and as such, notice should be sent to the Collective Class. There are numerous similarly situated current and former employees of Defendants who have been denied overtime pay in violation of the FLSA and NYLL, who would benefit from the issuance of a Court-supervised notice of the present lawsuit, and the opportunity to join the present lawsuit. Those similarly situated employees are known to Defendants and are readily identifiable through Defendants' records.
- 46. The questions of law and fact common to the putative class predominate over any questions affecting only individual members.

6

- 47. The claims of Plaintiff are typical of the claims of the putative class.
- 48. Plaintiff and his counsel will fairly and adequately protect the interests of the putative class.
- 49. A collective action is superior to other available methods for the fair and efficient adjudication of this controversy.

### **FIRST CAUSE OF ACTION**

# Overtime Wages Under The Fair Labor Standards Act

- 50. Plaintiffs re-allege and incorporate by reference all allegations in all preceding paragraphs.
- 51. Plaintiffs have consented in writing to be a party to this action, pursuant to 29 U.S.C. §216(b).
- 52. At all times relevant to this action, Plaintiffs were engaged in commerce or the production of goods for commerce within the meaning of 29 U.S.C. §§206(a) and 207(a).
- 53. At all times relevant to this action, Defendants were employers engaged in commerce or the production of goods for commerce within the meaning of 29 U.S.C. §§206(a) and 207(a).
- 54. Defendants willfully failed to pay Plaintiff overtime wages for hours worked in excess of forty (40) hours per week at a wage rate of one and a half (1.5) times the regular wage, to which Plaintiffs were entitled under 29 U.S.C. §§206(a) in violation of 29 U.S.C. §207(a)(1).
- 55. Defendants' violations of the FLSA as described in this Complaint have been willful and intentional. Defendants have not made a good effort to comply with the FLSA with respect to the compensation of the Plaintiffs.
- 56. Due to Defendants' FLSA violations, Plaintiffs are entitled to recover from Defendants, jointly and severally, their unpaid wages and an equal amount in the form of liquidated damages, as well as reasonable attorneys fees and costs of the action, including interest, pursuant to the FLSA, specifically 29 U.S.C. §216(b).

# **SECOND CAUSE OF ACTION**

## Overtime Wages Under New York Labor Law

- 57. Plaintiffs re-allege and incorporate by reference all allegations in all preceding paragraphs.
- 58. At all times relevant to this action, Plaintiffs were employed by Defendants within the meaning of New York Labor Law §§2 and 651.
- 59. Defendants failed to pay Plaintiffs overtime wages for hours worked in excess of forty hours per week at a wage rate of one and a half (1.5) times the regular wage to which Plaintiffs were entitled under New York Labor Law §652, in violation of 12 N.Y.C.R.R. 137-1.3.
- 60. Due to Defendants' New York Labor Law violations, Plaintiffs are entitled to recover from Defendants, jointly and severally, their unpaid overtime wages and an amount equal to their unpaid overtime wages in the form of liquidated damages, as well as reasonable attorneys' fees and costs of the action, including interest in accordance with NY Labor Law §198(1-a).

# THIRD CAUSE OF ACTION Minimum Wages Under The Fair Labor Standards Act

- 61. Plaintiff LUZ MARIA HUARCA SEDANO re-alleges and incorporates by reference all allegations in all preceding paragraphs.
- 62. Plaintiff LUZ MARIA HUARCA SEDANO has consented in writing to be a party to this action, pursuant to 29 U.S.C. §216(b).
- 63. At all times relevant to this action, Plaintiff LUZ MARIA HUARCA SEDANO was engaged in commerce or the production of services and goods for commerce within the meaning of 29 U.S.C. §§206(a) and 207(a).
- 64. At all times relevant to this action, Defendants were employers engaged in commerce or the production of goods for commerce within the meaning of 29 U.S.C. §§206(a) and 207(a).
- 65. Defendants willfully failed to pay Plaintiff LUZ MARIA HUARCA SEDANO a minimum wage in accordance with 29 U.S.C. §§201, 202 and 203.

- 66. Defendants' violations of the FLSA, as described in this Complaint have been willful and intentional.
- 67. Defendants have not made a good faith effort to comply with the FLSA with respect to the Plaintiffs' compensation.
- 68. Due to Defendants' FLSA violations, Plaintiff LUZ MARIA HUARCA SEDANO is entitled to recover from Defendants, jointly and severally, his unpaid minimum wages and an equal amount in the form of liquidated damages, as well as reasonable attorneys' fees and costs of the action including interest, pursuant to the FLSA, specifically 29 U.S.C. §216(b).

# FOURTH CAUSE OF ACTION Minimum Wages Under New York Labor Law

- 69. Plaintiff LUZ MARIA HUARCA SEDANO re-alleges and incorporates by reference all allegations in all preceding paragraphs.
- 70. At all times relevant to this action, Plaintiff LUZ MARIA HUARCA SEDANO was employed by Defendants within the meaning of NYLL §§2 and 651.
- 71. At all times relevant to this action, Defendants were employers within the meaning of NYLL.
- 72. Defendants failed to record, credit or compensate Plaintiff LUZ MARIA HUARCA SEDANO the applicable minimum hourly wage, in violation of the New York Minimum Wage Act, specifically NYLL §652.
- 73. Defendants also failed to pay Plaintiff LUZ MARIA HUARCA SEDANO the required minimum wage, which Plaintiff were entitled under NYLL §652, in violation of 12 N. Y. C. R. R. 137-1.3.
- 74. Due to Defendants' NYLL violations, Plaintiff LUZ MARIA HUARCA SEDANO is entitled to recover from Defendants, jointly and severally, his unpaid minimum wages and an amount equal to their unpaid minimum wages in the form of liquidated damages, as well as reasonable attorneys' fees and costs of the action, including interest in accordance with NYLL §198 (1-a).

### FIFTH CAUSE OF ACTION

# **Spread of Hours Compensation Under New York Labor Law**

- 75. Plaintiffs re-allege and incorporate by reference all allegations in all preceding paragraphs.
- 76. Defendants willfully violated Plaintiffs' rights by failing to pay Plaintiffs an additional hour of pay at minimum wage for each day worked more than ten (10) hours, in violation of the New York Minimum Wage Act and its implementing regulations. N.Y. Labor Law §§ 650 et seq.; 12 N.Y. C. R. R. § 142-2.4
- 77. Due to Defendants' New York Labor Law violations, Plaintiffs are entitled to recover from Defendants their unpaid spread of hour compensation, reasonable attorneys' fees, and costs of the action, pursuant to N. Y. Labor Law § 663 (1).

### **SIXTH CAUSE OF ACTION**

# Violation of the Notice and Recordkeeping Requirements of the New York Labor Law

- 78. Plaintiffs re-allege and incorporate by reference all allegations in all preceding paragraphs.
- 79. Defendants failed to provide Plaintiffs with a written notice, in English and in Spanish (Plaintiffs' primary language), of their rate of pay, regular pay day, and such other information as required by NYLL §195(1).
- 80. Defendants are liable to Plaintiffs in the amount of \$5,000.00 per Plaintiff, together with costs and attorneys' fees.

### **SEVENTH CAUSE OF ACTION**

# Violation of the Wage Statement Requirements of the New York Labor Law

- 81. Plaintiffs re-allege and incorporate by reference all allegations in all preceding paragraphs.
- 82. Defendants failed to provide Plaintiffs with wage statements upon each payment of wages, as required by NYLL §195(3)
- 83. Defendants are liable to Plaintiffs in the amount of \$5,000.00 per Plaintiff, together with costs and attorneys' fees.

#### PRAYER FOR RELIEF

Wherefore, Plaintiffs respectfully request that judgment be granted:

- a. Declaring Defendants' conduct complained herein to be in violation of the Plaintiffs' rights under the FLSA, the New York Labor Law, and its regulations;
- b. Awarding Plaintiffs unpaid overtime wages;
- c. Awarding Plaintiff unpaid minimum wages;
- d. Awarding Plaintiffs unpaid spread of hours compensation;
- e. Awarding Plaintiffs liquidated damages pursuant to 29 U.S.C. §216 and New York Labor Law §§198(1-a), 663(1);
- f. Awarding Plaintiffs prejudgment and post-judgment interest;
- g. Awarding Plaintiffs the costs of this action together with reasonable attorneys' fees; and
- h. Awarding such and further relief as this court deems necessary and proper.

# **DEMAND FOR TRIAL BY JURY**

Pursuant to Rule 38(b) of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure, Plaintiffs demand a trial by jury on all questions of fact raised by the complaint.

Dated: This 16th day of October 2023.

Janelle J. Romero, Esq. (5750195)

anelle Romero

Gen Esq Law PLLC 515 Madison Ave #8140

New York, NY 10022 Telephone: 201-503-7754 janelle@genesqlaw.com